

Climate change—fueled by greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions—poses a grave threat to our health and planet. As we work to reduce these dangerous emissions, we often overlook the climate footprint of our dietary choices. Yet, livestock production causes as much climate pollution as all the tailpipe emissions from the world's vehicles combined, so even small reductions in red meat consumption can have a big impact on GHG emissions. As leading sellers of meals in the United States, food service companies can champion climate-healthy menus by purchasing less red meat and more climate- and health-friendly produce and legumes.

SCIENTISTS LINK EATING TOO MUCH RED MEAT WITH OBESITY, HEART DISEASE, DIABETES, AND CANCER

BEEF IS 34 TIMES MORE

CLIMATE POLLUTION-INTENSIVE THAN LEGUMES LIKE BEANS AND LENTILS, POUND FOR POUND **USDA RECOMMENDED RED MEAT CONSUMPTION:**

1.8 oz/day

ACTUAL AVERAGE CONSUMPTION IN THE UNITED STATES:

3.1 oz/day²

PLANT-FORWARD MENUS ARE GOING MAINSTREAM, ESPECIALLY WITH MILLENIALS

In the National Restaurant Association's 2016 "What's Hot" survey, nearly 60 percent of professional chefs listed meatless items among the top culinary trends. Acughly half of younger consumers and one-third of older people already regularly choose plant-based foods instead of meat. And half of consumers between the ages of 25 and 34 are more likely to visit a restaurant that offers healthy options. Food companies that do not respond to these trends or that do not proactively offer plant-forward menu options risk losing market share.

THE HEALTHCARE SECTOR IS LEADING THE WAY ON CLIMATE-HEALTHY MENUS

"As a healthcare facility, we have the opportunity to introduce new foods and guide the food choices of our patients and staff. By focusing on reducing our meat purchases we are able to serve the healthiest meals possible while reducing our climate impact."

–Barbara Hamilton, Palomar Health

To date, I37 hospitals have committed to the Healthier Hospital Less Meat, Better Meat Goal to reduce meat purchases by I0 percent per year or trim meat portions by an average I.5 ounces per meal served. In only one year, 38 hospitals reduced meat purchases by an aggregate total of I,359,010 pounds—the equivalent of avoiding 21,093 metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions.³







APRIL 2016

FS-16-04-A



THE SOLUTION IS TO EAT LESS RED MEAT AND MORE PLANTS

If Americans eat 30 percent less beef, it would be like taking the tailpipe emissions from about 10 million cars off the road each year.47



Researchers at the Harvard School of Public Health estimate that substituting 1 serving per day of other foods—like fish, poultry, nuts, legumes, low-fat dairy, and whole grains—for red meat could lower the risk of mortality by 7 to 19 percent.8

FOOD SERVICE MANAGERS CAN LEAD THE WAY TO CLIMATE-HEALTHY DIETS

The three largest food service companies operate tens of thousands of sites in the United States each day and are the second, third, and fourth sellers of meals domestically. Together, these three companies purchase approximately 183 million pounds of red meat annually. 9 Compass Group, the top food service provider, has already publicly committed to purchasing less red meat and more plants over the next three years. Food service providers and venue managers can play a powerful role in encouraging healthier diets by shifting away from red meat-heavy menus and offering more plant-based foods.

SOME WAYS FOOD SERVICE COMPANIES CAN BECOME RECOGNIZED CHAMPIONS OF CLIMATE-HEALTHY MENUS:

- Moving meat from the center of the plate
- Introducing new, globally inspired dishes
- Blending mushrooms with beef to make burgers
- Reducing portion sizes of meat cuts
- Reducing meat in mixed dishes and adding more plant-based protein or whole grains
- Increasing portions of vegetables and plant-based foods
- Offering (and marketing) more meat-free entrees

EATING TOO MUCH RED MEAT IS HARMFUL TO HUMAN HEALTH

Recent findings by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the U.S. government-appointed Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (DGAC), highlight the strong connection between heavy red meat consumption and health problems including obesity, heart disease, diabetes, and cancer. 10 The scientific evidence is so solid that the WHO recently classified the consumption of red meat as a probable human carcinogen. As it turns out, eating less red meat is one of the most effective ways to improve our health.

THE MEAT WE EAT COMES WITH A BIG **ENVIRONMENTAL FOOTPRINT**

Producing the animals we eat causes nearly 15 percent of global GHG emissions. 12 In particular, red meat from ruminant animals (e.g., cows, sheep, and goats) and pigs is a major driver of emissions. Producing feed for these animals requires a lot of energy, as well as the clearing and maintenance of land that may otherwise be forested and absorbing carbon from the air. Ruminants themselves are top GHG emitters because their multi-chambered digestive systems cause them to release large amounts of methane, a powerful GHG. That makes beef about eight to ten times more GHG-intensive as chicken and 34 times more GHGintensive as legumes like beans and lentils, pound for pound.13

ENDNOTES

- Wellesley, L., Froggatt, A., and Happer, C., Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption, Chatham House, November 24, 2015, www.chathamhouse.org/publication/changing-climate-changing-diets.
- In 2010, the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee recommended a dietary pattern for Americans that included a maximum of 1.8 ounces of red meat per day. But according to the USDA, the most recent data (2013) show that Americans consume approximately 3.1 ounces of red meat per-capita each day, significantly more than health experts recommend.
- "Home Page," Healthier Hospitals, http://www.healthierhospitals.org/. (Last Updated November 15, 2015.)
- National Restaurant Association, What's Hot: 2016 Culinary Forecast, National Restaurant Association, 2016, http://www.restaurant.org/Downloads/PDFs/News-Research/WhatsHot2016.
- Yale Kamila, A., Millenials Lead Food Industry Toward Greener Territory, Portland Press Herald, September 9, 2015, http://www.pressherald.com/2015/09/09/ vegetarian-kitchen-millennials-lead-food-industry-toward-greener-territory/.
- Restaurant Business, What Health Means Now, Restaurant Business, http://www.restaurantbusinessonline.com/menu/food-trends/what-healthy-means-now.
- "Food Availability (Per Capita) Data System," USDA Economic Research Service, http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-availability-(per-capita)-datasystem.aspx. (Accessed April 5, 2016.); "Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator," U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalenciescalculator. (Accessed April 5, 2016.)
- Pan, A., et al, Red meat consumption and mortality: results from 2 prospective cohort studies, JAMA Internal Medicine, March 12, 2012, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/22412075.
- Deloitte, "Changing Tastes, 2015," presentation to NRDC staff, October 2, 2015.
- 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee [Millen, B. (Chair) and Lichenstein, A.H. (Vice Chair)], Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee, U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Department of Health and Human Services, January 28, 2015, http://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015-scientificreport/PDFs/ Scientific-Report-of-the-2015-Dietary-Guidelines-Advisory-Committee.pdf/.
- Gaudin, N., IARC Monographs Evaluate Consumption of Red Meat and Processed Meat, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, October $26,\,2015,\,https://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2015/pdfs/pr240_E.pdf.$
- Heller, M.C. and G.A. Keoleian, Greenhouse gas emission estimates of U.S. dietary choices and food loss, Journal of Industrial Ecology: Supporting Information, vol. 19, September 4, 2014, pp. 391-401.